

Approximate Return of Traffic for week ended 3rd March 1883 on 86 miles open.

	Cosching Traffic.		Merchandise and Mi- neral Traffic.		Farnings (esti-		Traffic Train- miles run.		
	No. of Passen- gers.	Ceaching Receipts,	Weight carried.	Receipts.	Other Farni mated.)	fotal Eunings.	.garling.	Merchandise.	Total.
September and State By any of the summarises of the set Sentengen of sections of the set Sentengen of the sentengen of the set Sentengen of the sentenge of the sentengen		Rs. A. P.	Mds. S.	Rs. A.P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. F.			
Total Traffic for the week Or per mile of Railway For previous 77 weeks of half-year	5,5£6 65 45,639	3,120 0 2 36 6 4 25,793 3 8	8,973 0 104 13 90,135 30		0 15 11	50 15 5	6,859	570 1, 6,141 12,	
Total for Sq weeks	51,235	28,925 3 10	99,198 30	15,012 9	593 2 8	44,530 15 6	7,718	6,711 14	1,489
Comparison.			Qa	52 miles oper	1.				-
Total for corresponding week of previous year Per mile of Railway, corresponding week of	6,511	4,523 18, 7	2,973, 20	- 1 -	. 11	1 1 1	1,529	1,293 2	2,822
Total to corresponding date of previous	76 30,348	52 9 8 18,695 6 10	34 23 28,150 2	1 1	1		1	6,349 15	501

9th March 1883.

St. J. Buchan, Auditor of Accounts, M. S. R.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

NOTICE UNDER SECTION 354 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

It is hereby declared that the undermentioned persons are insolvents, and, as such, entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Chapter XX of the Code of Civil Procedure, and it is ordered that the said insolvents be and are hereby discharged from custody and from all liability to be arrested or imprisoned in respect of any of the debts mentioned in the schedule filed with records of the cases in question:—

K. Lakshmaiya		* *	Insolvent Case No.	231	of	1882.
Rangaiya	* 4	* 4	Do	342	of	do
Timmagamma		* 4	Do	387	of	do
Muttamma			Do	388	of	ob
Raja Boyi	**	* *	Do	458	of	do
Viraraghava Shastri			Do	26	of	1883.

C. Cress. Sub-Judge.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

Insolvency Case No. 400 of 1882.

In the matter of Shanbhog Rangappa of Gangavadi, an Insolvent Judgment-debter.

Order.—The application of the above named Shanbhog Rangappa to be discharged from custody coming on to be heard before this Court in the presence of Mr. U. Govinda Rao, Advocate on the part of the applicant S. Bangappa, and in the presence of Mr. M. D. Naranaiengar, Advocate on the part of 1 Arakotaram Virabhadra Shetti, 2 Balle Putta Shetti, 3 Channavira Devaru, 4 Lakshman Shetti, 5 Putrangi, guardian of Chavada Shetti, minor, son of the deceased Sivananjashetti; and 11 Puttanna, being creditors of the said applicant Shanbhog Rangappa, and the following creditors although duly served with notice of the hearing having failed to appear either in person or by Pleader, vie., 5 Imam Sahib, 6 Guru Basava Shetti, 7 Putmada, grandson of deceased Siddaranga, 8 Pandit Danappa, 9 Nan-

jundaiya, son of deceased Sitaramaiya, 12 Patel Mallaiya and 13 Adam Sahib; it is hereby declared that the said Shanbhog Rangappa is an insolvent, and, as such, entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Chapter XX of the Code of Civil Procedure; and it is ordered that Mr. Krishneienger, Advocate, on his giving such security as is hereinafter mentioned, be appointed a Receiver of the property of the soil Shanbhog Rangappa, insolvent; and that the said Mr. Krishnaiengar, Advocate, do forthwith a deferred before he shall enter upon his duties as such Receiver give good and sufficient security for Rs. 2 000 hy bond with two or more able sureties to be approved by the Court duly to account for and administer the estate of the said insolvent, and that the said Mr. Krishnaiengar, Advocate, do retain as a remunity tion for the performance of his duties as such Receiver, a commission at the rate of Rs. 5 per cent upon the amount of the balance distributed by him among the scheduled-creditors of the said insolvert.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 19th day of January 1883.

T. R. A. THUMBOO CHATTER.

District Judge.

NAGAR DIVISION.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE.

Original Suit No. 6 of 1882.

Execution Case No. 12 and 13 of 1882.

TS.

Plaintiffs.

Batni Nagesha Rao
 Do Krishna Rao

3. Do Venkat Rao

Defendant.

Mr. F. D. Meppen, resident of Harolidkele. Bindag Magani, Chikmagalar T duk.

In execution of a decree of the District Judge's Court, Nagar Division, in the above case, dated the 23rd day of October 1882, in virtue whereof a sum of Rs. 49,912—5—8, with interest thereon at 12 per cent per annum from date of plaint, viz., 1st July 1882, up to the date of decree, and thereafter 15 6 per cent per annum up to date of payment, and costs Rs. 1,635—6—11, was adjudged to be payed to by the said Mr. F. D. Meppen to the said plaintiffs and that the hypothecated property described in Normal 1, 2, 3 in the schedule hereunder attached, be also made liable to the decreed amount, and of a warrant dated the 28th day of February 1883, for giving effect to the said decree by sale of property attached thereunder, notice is hereby given that on the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th days of April 1883, at 12 o'clock, the Nazir of this Court will, at Chikmagalur, in front of the Sub-Judge's Court, sell by auction to the high is bidder, and without reserve, the right, title and interest of the said Mr. F. D. Meppen, in the immovable property hereunder specified, and every power of disposing of the same or any of them or of the profits arising therefrom which the said Mr. F. D. Meppen may now consistently with the law exercise for his own benefit.

This the 28th day of February 1883.

By the Court,

B. VENKATACHAR, Sheristadar and Nazir.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

NOTIFICATIONS.

The 1st March 1883,

The right to collect tolls at the toll-gates at Haid-urghar and Agumbe ghats in the Nagar and Tirthahalli Taluks of the Shimoga District from 1st April 1883 to March 1884, will be sold by public auction separately at Nagar by the Amildar of Nagar on the 20th March 1883, and at Tirthahalli by the Amildar of Tirthahalli on the 26th idem.

2. The contractor who purchases the right can collect toll at the rates mentioned in the accompanying schedule and no higher rates are leviable by him. He should conform himself to the rates published with the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 274, dated 4th November 1876.